

HOPES/EXPECTATIONS

- training so cmte can make good decisions
- Stay productive / moving forward
- leadership from chair to guide cmte toward consensus
- stay focused on scope
- ID projects that actually result in streamflow restoration
- balance ag priorities w/ habitat projects
- opportunities w/ water catchment related to ag
- lead include benefits from retiring existing exempt wells (kingdom agribusiness projects)
- accomplish stream restoration goal
- assistance w/ identifying high priority projects - esp. for small cities
 - incl. non-water projects that benefit salmon
- coord w/ ag resiliency plan + farm/fish/flood
- link to citizens living in WRIA 7 - comm w/ public
- plan that responds to changes over the 20 yrs

CONCERNS

- scope creep
- unclear of expectations for what plan will look like
- what are expectations for implementation - timeline
- where does climate change fit in
- perverse incentive built into the grant program
 - more devt outside UGA = more \$
- estimating benefit from water storage projects
 - ~~IDing projects~~ small storage projects
- City staff capacity for grant proposals
- misalignment w/ growth planning
- amount of resources that could go into growth projections

⑦

HOPE / EXPECTATIONS

- ✓ ~~plan~~ clearly defining the "problem"
- ✓ good technical input into plan/process
- ✓ have process for addressing x-basin transfers/flow (e.g., septic recharge credit)
- ✓ work w/ salmon recovery forum to ID projects
- ✓ Successfully address & create streamflow restoration + allow domestic growth
- Develop UGA expansion processes re: water use
- ✓ Committee implementation aligns w/ and accelerates salmon recovery work
- explore a more reasonable ^{proposed} per connection allocation
- Ecology rulemaking has no match requirement
- Flexibility around project riparian buffer

CONCERNS

- ~~plan~~ sacrificial subbasins
- No project funding guarantee
- plan sits on shelf → ✓ planning purgatory
- plan + project accountability + enforcement
- ✓ limited ^{project} implementation capacity
- use of inchoate muni rights to "solve" rural growth
- How consensus is defined
- Definition of NEB ✓
- conxn between project ID/funding + plan implementation ✓

HOPES/EXPECTATIONS

CONCERNS

- Consider climate change projections ✓
 - Coord, x-connection with other groups/forums/collaborations ✓
 - use as vehicle to get good work done! ✓
 - agreement on data metrics (eg. consump. use)
 - account for development back to Jan 2018
 - Which streams might need instream flow rules (considered by comm.)
 - DPPs for assessments (much needed projects) → priority
 - define, → priority
 - understand, measure success
 - Strategy for implementation past planning
 - who will do projects
 - Aligned w/ existing group & re-energize local level work
- What happens after 20 yrs? Water issues get tougher going fwd → water planning not a 20 year thing! ✓
 - Sins we identify how may ~~be~~ be bandaid.
 - not looking at muni, agr. water use - missing the big water uses → note SR. water rights
 - Missing bigger picture when focused on smaller use
 - Lack of \$ for feasibility
- ### Expectations
- Committee input on where \$ goes
 - maintain stability \$ stay on task. ✓
 - Clarity on process so not stymied, confused, shared vision ✓

HOPES/EXPECTATIONS

- Clear direction to jurisdictions
 - Clear for domestic users (well drilling + building permits)
- Incentivize cleaning up abandoned wells. Certainty surrounding existing exempt well use *decommissioning for credit*
- Coordination w/ Snohomish Basin Forum. Use their tech. Committee to identify + Review Projects. + Snoqualmie W. Forum
 - Project review crits.
- Focus on projects upstream of Snoqualmie Falls.
- Improve Conditions for Salmon. Cool, clean, clear, quantity. E.g. channel enhancement
- Constrain the plan scope
 - no project creep.
- Role of cities
 - Want to learn. - guidance.

CONCERNS

- Unfunded mandates *ag, industrial, municipal*
- Irrigation efficiency (potential water offsets) *Pluses and minuses here.*
- Pressure on cities to serve new users w/in their UGAs and serve with existing water rights.
- System improvements outside UGAs.
- Capacity for this support
- Awareness of bigger picture
 - (e.g. buffer requirements)